# Stability of Microbial and Chemical Indicators of the Minced Beef Meat under Freezing and Refrigerated Temperature

### Fahad M. Al-Jasass

King Abdul-Aziz City for Science and Technology, National Center for Agriculture Technologies, P.O. Box 6086, Riyadh 11442, Saudi Arabia

(received January 7, 2013; revised September 26, 2013; accepted October 14, 2013)

Abstract. The microbial spoilage and chemical changes in minced beef meat were monitored during storage at freezing and refrigerating temperatures. Total viable count of Pseudomonas, Streptococcus faecal count, faecal coliform and Staphylococcus aureus in minced beef meat collected from supermarkets at day 0 were 4.3, 3.2, 2.5, 2.2 and 3.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively. These counts increased after 5 days of storage at  $4\pm1$  °C to 7.3, 7.1, 3.8, 5.0 and 3.3 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively. These counts decreased after 6 months at  $-10\pm1$  °C to 3.2, 2.6, 2.0, 1.2 and 1.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively. The results also indicated that the total viable count of Pseudomonas, Streptococcus faecal count, faecal coliform and Staphylococcus aureus were higher in small butcher shop as compared to supermarket at day 0. On day 0 the thiobarbituric acid reactive in minced beef meat samples collected from supermarket and small butcher shop were 0.89 and 1.15 mg malonaldehyde/kg, respectively. After 5 days of storage at 4 °C, the thiobarbituric acid reactive in minced meat beef collected from supermarket and small shop increased and reached upto 2.95 and 3.74 mg malonaldehyde/kg, respectively. It increased to 3.02 in minced beef from supermarket, and 3.85 mg from small shop after 6 months at  $-10\pm1$  °C. Lightness, redness and yellowness of minced beef meat decreased, when meat was kept under cooling and freezing temperature, however, lightness, redness and yellowness of minced beef meat were higher in density in supermarket samples than those of meat obtained from small butcher shops.

Keywords: cooling, freezing temperature, minced beef meat, TBARS, colour

# Introduction

The minced beef meat is of high value in terms of nutrition and economy. It contains most of the nutrients specially essential amino acids. The minced beef meat is used in many types of meals. This type of meat is highly perishable due to its suitablity for the growth of microorganisms as the large exposed surface area facilitates spoilage. Fresh minced beef meats are commonly marketed at refrigerated temperature ( $4\pm1$  °C). Total count and coliform bacteria are good indicators of the hygienic quality of minced meat (Skrökki, 1997). Enterobacter, Lactobacilli, Pseudomonads, Brochothrix thermosphacta and Shewanella putrefaciens are responsible for spoilage of fresh meat and meat products (Huffman, 2002; Garbutt, 1997). The chilled temperatures with high moisture will favour the Pseudomonas alcaligenes. Extended refrigeration may have the growth of Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter and Moraxella and causes spoilage of fresh meat. Yeasts may grow under aerobic conditions on meat and causes sliminess, lipolysis, discolourations, off odours and taste. Coliform is often used as hygiene indicator of foods of animal origin. The presence of coliforms in meat indicates that inadequate treatment or post-process contamination occur during handling or manufacturing stages (Crowley et al., 2005). Meat is contaminated with many types of microorganisms during slaughtering, evisceration, chilling, handling, and grinding (Jay, 2002). Pseudomonas spp. are ubiquitous and able to grow aerobically at low temperatures and are generally recognized to dominate in meat during storage at refrigeration temperature. Many Pseudomonas are responsible for spoilage of meat and meat products by degradation of glucose and amino acid, even at refrigeration temperatures (Koutsoumanis et al., 2006; Skandamis and Nychas, 2005; Ellis and Goodacre, 2001; Labadie, 1999). Also Serratia, Enterobacter, Pantoea, Proteus, and Hafnia, often contribute to meat spoilage (Jay et al., 2003; Gram et al., 2002; Labadie, 1999; Nychas and Drosinos, 1999; Borch et al., 1996). Podpeèan et al. (2007), isolated S. aureus in 62.5% (10/16) of specimens from ground meat. S. aureus grows, when meat is stored in an inadequate environment for longer period of time. During refrigeration, the hygienic quality of meat declines

E-mail: aljasass@kacst.edu.sa

rapidly due to microbial growth through contamination from different sources and lipid oxidation, which eventually leads to meat spoilage. Reduction of contamination, cross contamination, delaying or inhibiting spoilage and growth of pathogenic bacteria improves meat quality, enhance safety and increase its shelf life. There are some factors, which can be effective for keeping meat quality that includes temperature, time of ageing and packaging (Beriain and Lizaso, 1997). Minced beef meat is susceptible to microbial contamination during processing and handling stages (Nam and Ahn, 2003). According to Irkin *et al.* (2011), minced beef meat is acceptable, when the total count is in the range from  $5 \times 10^6$  to  $1 \times 10^7$  CFU/g.

Oxidation and rancid off-flavour can occur during refrigerated and frozen storage (Pearson and Young, 1989). Meat colour is the main factor and discolouration and oxidative rancidity and off-flavour in red meat are related to the oxidation of myoglobin and the autoxidation of fat, which affects the consumer buying decisions (Brewer et al., 2002; Faustman and Cassens, 1990). Aerobic bacterial growth during logarithmic phase increases the rate of beef oxidation due to its high oxygen demand for the oxidation of myoglobin to metmyoglobin (Seideman et al., 1984). The sensory deterioration of minced beef meat is due to the growth of microorganisms and consumption of meats nutrients such as sugars and free amino acids that liberate undesirable volatile metabolites. Off-odours such as "cheesy" or "buttery" odours usually happens when the microbial load in minced beef meat over 107 CFU cm<sup>2</sup>. Off-odours "fruit" evolves, when microbial loads increase and become moldy due to free amino acids consumed by microorganisms and numbering over 10<sup>9</sup> CFU cm<sup>2</sup> (Ercolini *et al.*, 2006; Jay, 2000; Dainty et al., 1985).

Meat processors usually need meat of high quality with low microbial load. Therefore, it is very important for meat manufacturers to collect data that can be used by meat industry to validate or change their current practices of processing in order to increase the ground beef quality, safety and shelf life.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of cooling and freezing temperature  $(4\pm1 \text{ °C} \text{ and } -10\pm1 \text{ °C})$  on the microbial and chemical quality of minced beef meat.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Sample preparation. Minced beef meat samples were collected from four supermarkets butcheries and four butcher shops in Riyadh city area (capital of Saudi Arabia). About 250±10 g of each of the ground meat samples were collected, packed in sterile polyethylene bags. The samples were kept at low temperature  $(0/ -1 \ ^{\circ}C)$  and transported to the lab within 1 h, each replica consisted of 32 samples under aseptic conditions. On arrival at the laboratory, the samples were analysed for microbial count, colour and lipid oxidation. The rest of the samples were stored at temperatures appropriate to the study. Each batch consisted of 16 samples of minced beef collected from supermarkets butcheries and 16 samples collected from small shops. Eight samples from supermarkets and 8 samples from the small shops were stored at  $4\pm1$  °C, while, 8 samples each from supermarkets and small shops were stored at -10±1 °C.

**Storage.** Lipid oxidation, colour and microbial analysis were carried out at 0, 1, 3 and 6 months of frozen storage  $(-10\pm1 \ ^{\circ}C)$  and at 0, 2, 4 and 5 days of refrigerated storage  $(4\pm1 \ ^{\circ}C)$ . Samples for lipid oxidation, colour and microbial analysis were stored without vacuuming in sterile bags.

Microbiological analyses. The microbial load in minced beef was determined at the beginning (before storage) using FDA procedures (Koch et al., 2001). Five methods were used for identification and enumeration of microorganisms in ground beef samples: total viable cell count of Pseudomonas, Streptococcus, faecal coliform and S. aureus. Aseptically, approximately 25 g of minced beef meat were 10-fold diluted in 225 mL buffered peptone water and homogenised in a stomacher bag for 1 min. Serial decimal dilutions were made and the following analyses were carried out on agar plates in duplicate: (1) total viable count on aerobic plate count incubated at 30 °C for 48 h (2) Pseudomonas count on aerobic Pseudomonas media incubated at 30 °C for 24 h (3) Streptococcus count on tryptic soy agar incubated at 35 °C for 24 h (4) faecal coliform at aerobic Violet Red Bile Agar incubated at 30 °C for 24 h (5) S. aureus using aerobic Staphylococcus medium 110 incubated at 35 °C for 48 h.

**Lipid oxidation measurement.** Lipid oxidation was evaluated by the determination of 2-thiobarbituric acid

reactive substances (TBARS), which is a good indicator for measuring the lipid peroxidation in minced meat, due to its speed and simplicity (Raharjo and Sofos, 1993; Shahidi and Hong, 1991). TBARS is formed in meat as a byproduct of lipid peroxidation that are generated under conditions of oxidative stress. It is component, which results from the decomposition of polyunsaturated fatty acid lipid peroxides. TBARS determination were performed since this parameter is one of the most widely used tests for evaluating the extent of secondary oxidation, owing to its sensitivity and relatively simple procedure (Fernindez *et al.*, 1997). TBARS values were calculated from a standard curve of malonaldehyde (MA) and expressed as mg MA/kg minced beef meat sample.

Meat colour measurement. A Hunter-Lab Digital Colour Difference Meter was used to measure L (luminosity), a (redness), and b (yellowness) values. Three measurements were taken uniformly spaced over the surface and the colourimetric values were averaged.

**Statistical analysis.** The factorial experiment in the completely randomised design was done with three replicates. Three replica was done for each independent. ANOVA for the factorial experiment in the completely randomised design was carried out according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) at the 5% level according to Waller and Duncan (1969). SAS software package was used (SAS, 2001).

### **Results and Discussion**

Microbial load, lipid oxidation and colour changes are the most important quality criteria for storage of minced meat (Anthoula et al., 2012; Ozlem et al., 2011; Brooks et al., 2008). The microbiological quality of frozen and cooling packed minced meat are shown in Table 1. The initial total viable count on minced meat samples collected from supermarkets and small shops were 4.3 and 4.8 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively. A similar level of initial contamination was reported by Anthoula et al. (2012); Coleen et al. (2012); Ozlem et al. (2011) and Brooks et al. (2008). On day 0, 2, 4 and 5 there was a significant decrease (P<0.05), in the number of total viable bacteria between cooled minced meat samples collected from supermarkets and samples collected from small butcher shops, respectively. The total viable count increased rapidly on samples packed in air and stored in refrigerator, which could be due to the grounding process, initial contamination and cold storage (Papadopoulou *et al.*, 2012; Ozlem *et al.*, 2011). A similar trend was observed for *Pseudomonas*. In all minced meat samples packed in air and stored chilled, *Pseudomonas* were found to be the dominatinant flora. Similar results were also reported by Abderrahmane *et al.* (2011) and Brooks *et al.* (2008). Meat is a highly perishable food product which, unless correctly stored, processed, packaged and distributed, spoils quickly and becomes hazardous due to the microbial growth (Odekerken *et al.*, 2012; Papadopoulou *et al.*, 2012; Zhou *et al.*, 2010; Nychas *et al.*, 2008). High counts in meat indicates contaminated raw materials or unsatisfactory processing or cross contamination after processing from a sanitary point of view (ICMSF, 1988).

Staphylococcus aureus is a major human pathogen associated with a wide spectrum of diseases from relatively benign skin infections to life-threatening endocarditis, toxic shock syndrome and necrotising pneumonia (Luning et al., 2011; Diane et al., 2010). This bacterium can contaminate several foods, including processed meat products and minimally processed ready-to-eat vegetables (El-Hadedy and Abu El-Nour, 2012; Diane et al., 2010). The initial contamination levels of S. aureus on minced meat samples collected from supermarkets and small shops were 2.6 and  $3.3 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g}$ , respectively. The presence of pathogens in the food supply in low numbers is considered a major cause of world-wide gastrointestinal disease (Luning et al., 2011). The number of S. aureus on minced meat stored at 4 °C increased, significantly (P<0.05), during 5 days of storage. In contrast the number of S. aureus on minced meat stored frozen (at -10 °C), significantly decreased (P<0.05), on all samples during 180 days of storage. It could be concluded that frozen storage reduces the risk of S. aureus pathogen on minced meat.

High counts of coliforms indicated poor hygiene in the food supply chain (George *et al.*, 2011; Luning *et al.*, 2011; Norrung and Buncic, 2008). The initial contamination level of fecal coliform on minced meat samples collected from supermarkets and small butcher shops were 2.2 and 4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively. The log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g of faecal coliform on minced meat stored in refrigerator at 4 °C, significantly increased (P<0.05), during 5 days of storage. In contrast all samples stored frozen at -10 °C, the log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g of faecal coliform, significantly decreased (P<0.05), during 180 days of storage. Similar trend was observed for *Streptococcus*.

Location	Chilled minced beef (4 °C)				Frozen minced beef (-10 °C)				
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 5	Day 0	Day 30	Day 90	Day 180	
	Total v	Total viable count (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				Total viable count (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)			
Supermarkets	4.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.2 <sup>Ba</sup>	6.1 <sup>Ca</sup>	$7.3^{Da}$	4.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.8^{\text{Ba}}$	3.7 <sup>Ca</sup>	3.2 <sup>Da</sup>	
SD	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.25	0.6	0.4	0.4	
Small butcher shops	4.8 <sup>Ab</sup>	$5.7^{Bb}$	6.6 <sup>Cb</sup>	$7.8^{\text{Db}}$	4.8 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.3 <sup>Bb</sup>	4.1 <sup>Cb</sup>	$3.5^{Da}$	
SD	0.6	0.15	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	
	Pseudomonas (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				Pseudomonas (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				
Supermarkets	3.2 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.2^{Ba}$	4.9 <sup>Ca</sup>	$7.1^{Da}$	3.2 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.1^{Ba}$	3.1 <sup>Ca</sup>	$2.6^{Da}$	
SD	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.3	
Small butcher shops	3.8 <sup>Ab</sup>	$4.9^{Bb}$	5.5 <sup>Cb</sup>	$7.4^{\text{Db}}$	3.8 <sup>Ab</sup>	$4.4^{Bb}$	3.6 <sup>Cb</sup>	$3.0^{Da}$	
SD	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.25	0.3	0.2	0.4	
	<i>Streptococcus</i> faecal (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				<i>Streptococcus</i> faecal (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				
Supermarkets	2.5 <sup>Aa</sup>	3.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	3.3 <sup>Ba</sup>	3.8 <sup>Ca</sup>	2.5 <sup>Aa</sup>	2.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	$2.5^{ACa}$	$2.0^{BDa}$	
SD	0.2	0.2	0.15	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	
Small butcher shops	3.9 <sup>Ab</sup>	$4.6^{Bb}$	$4.8^{Bb}$	5.3 <sup>Cb</sup>	3.9 <sup>Ab</sup>	$3.0^{Bb}$	3.1 <sup>Ab</sup>	$2.6^{\text{Da}}$	
SD	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.35	0.4	0.2	0.25	
	Faecal coliform (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				Faecal coliform l (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				
Supermarkets	2.2 <sup>Aa</sup>	3.7 <sup>Ba</sup>	4.5 <sup>Ca</sup>	5.0 <sup>Da</sup>	2.2 <sup>Aa</sup>	2.1 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.6 <sup>Ba</sup>	1.2 <sup>Ca</sup>	
SD	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.15	0.3	0.4	
Small butcher shops	$4.0^{Ab}$	4.3 <sup>Ab</sup>	$4.9^{Bb}$	5.6 <sup>Cb</sup>	4.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	3.5 <sup>Bb</sup>	2.3 <sup>Cb</sup>	$1.5^{Da}$	
SD	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	
	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)				
Supermarkets	2.6 <sup>Ab</sup>	4.0 <sup>Bb</sup>	$3.8^{\text{Ba}}$	3.3 <sup>Ca</sup>	2.6 <sup>Ab</sup>	2.4 <sup>Ab</sup>	1.4 <sup>Bb</sup>	1.0 <sup>Da</sup>	
SD	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	
Small butcher shops	3.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.5^{Ba}$	3.9 <sup>Ca</sup>	$3.4^{Da}$	3.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$2.3^{\text{Ba}}$	$1.5^{Ca}$	$1.2^{Da}$	
SD	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	

Table 1. The growth of microbial indicators in minced meat stored at 4 °C and -10 °C collected from supermarkets and small shops at Riyadh

 $Log_{10}$  CFU/g values stated refer to three samples; values with the same superscripts in the same horizontal row (A-D) or vertical column (a-b) are not significantly different at (P=0.05); SD: standard deviation.

Generally, all microbial groups showed viable counts higher for samples stored chilled than those frozen. Meanwhile, samples collected from small butcher shops showed higher viable counts as compared to samples collected from supermarkets. The low number of viable counts minced beef meat in supermarkets may be due to practicing good sanitation and food handling techniques. After 180 days of storage at -10 °C, there were significant decreases (P<0.05), in the number of total viable bacteria, *Pseudomonas*, faecal coliform, *Streptococcus* and *S. aureus*, on minced meat samples, collected from supermarkets and small shops as compared to the initial number (day zero). The frozen storage for minced beef meat enhanced the microbiological quality and reduced the risk of pathogens. Although the hygienic quality of minced meat was still low, due to the high initial contamination level of pathogenic bacteria.

Lipid oxidation is one of the most important causes of minced meat deterioration during refrigerated or frozen storage, which affects fatty acids, particularly polyunsaturated fatty acids. This lipid auto oxidative degradation gives products that changes the meat quality, e.g., the colour, aroma, flavour, texture and even the nutritive value (Descalzo and Sancho, 2008; Duong et al., 2008; Balentine et al., 2006; Montgomery et al., 2003). The method of measuring oxidative stress by TBARS method is the best way for screening and monitoring the lipid peroxidation, a major indicator of oxidative stress (Armstrong,1998). TBARS content of minced beef collected from supermarkets and small butcher shops stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C and frozen at -10 °C is shown in Table 2. The initial TBARS number was 0.89 and 1.15 mg MA/kg of minced beef collected from supermarkets and small shops, respectively. Values detected in fresh minced meat were in agreement with the published data (Ozlem et al., 2011; Brooks et al., 2008). After 4 days of storage at 4 °C the number of TBARS increased rapidly and reached to 1.88 and 2.37 mg MA/kg in samples collected from supermarkets and small butcher shops, respectively. Lipid oxidation was particularly pronounced in ground meats, where, the disruption of muscle cell structure exposed lipid components to oxygen (Ozlem et al., 2011; Duong et al., 2008; Balentine et al., 2006). Meanwhile, the number of TBARS, of samples stored frozen increased at a slower rate as compared with samples stored chilled. Vieira et al. (2009), stated that TBARS of fresh meat was, significantly, lower than meat stored for 90 days at -20 °C. Such observations indicate that frozen storage is not necessarily sufficient to prevent oxidation from occurring. A similar trend was obtained in this study. According to Coleen et al. (2012) and Estévez (2011) the optimum temperature for the frozen storage of meat has been reported to be -40 °C. This fraction of water is believed to be bound to other food constituents and thus is chemically inactive (Coleen et al., 2012; Singh and Heldman, 2001; Nesvadba, 2008). The chemical reactions can occur during stored ground beef at 4 °C

that initiate primary lipid oxidation (peroxidation) in the meat (Lynch *et al.*, 2001).

Meat purchasing decisions are influenced more by colour consideration than any other quality factor because consumers use discolouration as an indicator of freshness and wholesomeness (Mancini and Hunt, 2005). The colour of muscle depends on the amount and oxidation/ reduction state of myoglobin (Ozlem *et al.*, 2011; Mohamed *et al.*, 2008; Mancini and Hunt, 2005). The effect of cold and frozen storage on colour properties ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  values) of minced beef meat collected from supermarkets and small shops is shown in Table 3.

The data indicate that lightness, redness and yellowness in minced beef meat collected from supermarkets were higher in density than those of collected from small butcher shops. Lightness, redness and yellowness in minced beef meat decreased during storage in cooling and freezing temperatures. The results of colour confirmed those obtained for microbiological quality (Table 1) and lipids oxidation, TBARS (Table 2).

The shelf life of minced meat is limited because the large exposed surface area facilitates spoilage. The rate of deteriorative changes depends on meat composition, hygienic practices during cutting, grinding and preparation and, finally, storage conditions (Anthoula *et al.*, 2012; Limbo *et al.*, 2010). The results of microbiology, lipid oxidation and colour indicate that the shelf life of samples, stored chilled at 4 °C and frozen at -10 °C were 4 and >90 days, respectively. Microbial quality, lipid oxidation and discolouration are the causative spoilage of minced meat stored chilled. Meanwhile, lipid oxidation and discolouration are mainly the causative spoilage of samples stored frozen.

Location	Refrigerated minced beef (4 °C) Malonaldehyde mg/kg				Frozen minced beef (-10 °C) Malonaldehyde mg/kg			
	Supermarkets	0.89 <sup>Aa</sup>	$1.48^{Ba}$	1.88 <sup>Ca</sup>	2.95 <sup>Da</sup>	0.89 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.65 <sup>Ba</sup>	1.69 <sup>Ca</sup>
SD	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.40	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.20
Small butcher shops	1.15 <sup>Ab</sup>	$1.74^{Bb}$	2.37 <sup>Cb</sup>	$3.74^{\text{Db}}$	1.15 <sup>Ab</sup>	$1.87^{Bb}$	2.10 <sup>Cb</sup>	$3.85^{\text{Db}}$
SD	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.50	0.20	0.15	0.30	0.40

Table 2. Malonaldehyde value of minced meat collected from supermarkets and small butcher shops at Riyadh

Each number is mean of three replicates; values with the same superscripts in the same horizontal row (A-D) or vertical column (a-b) are not significantly different at (P=0.05); SD = standard deviation.

Location	Chilled minced beef (4 °C) $L^*$				Frozen minced beef (-10 °C) L*			
	Day 0	Day 2	Day 4	Day 5	Day 0	Day 30	Day 90	Day 180
Supermarkets	41.4 <sup>Aa</sup>	$40.9^{\text{Ba}}$	39.6 <sup>Ca</sup>	37.9 <sup>Da</sup>	41.4 <sup>Aa</sup>	39.1 <sup>Ba</sup>	38.7 <sup>Ca</sup>	37.63 <sup>Da</sup>
SD	5.0	3.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Small butcher shops	40.3 <sup>Ab</sup>	$40.4^{Aa}$	39.1 <sup>Ca</sup>	$38.0^{Ca}$	40.3 <sup>Ab</sup>	$38.8^{Bb}$	38.1 <sup>Cb</sup>	$37.42^{\text{Db}}$
SD	3.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	6.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
	a*				<i>a</i> *			
Supermarkets	16.4 <sup>Aa</sup>	15.34 <sup>Ba</sup>	12.2 <sup>Ca</sup>	9.13 <sup>Da</sup>	16.4 <sup>Aa</sup>	15.1 <sup>Ba</sup>	12.7 <sup>Ca</sup>	8.85.6 <sup>Da</sup>
SD	2.0	1.7	2.1	0.95	1.20	1.7	1.3	1.1
Small butcher shops	15.1 <sup>Ab</sup>	13.4 <sup>Bb</sup>	10.8 <sup>Cb</sup>	$8.42^{\text{Db}}$	15.1 <sup>Ab</sup>	13.2 <sup>Bb</sup>	11.4 <sup>Cb</sup>	$7.92^{\text{Db}}$
SD	1.3	1.6	1.2	0.65	1.30	1.5	1.3	0.95
	$b^*$				<i>b</i> *			
Supermarkets	16.2 <sup>Aa</sup>	151 <sup>Ba</sup>	13.9 <sup>Ca</sup>	12.2 <sup>Da</sup>	16.2 <sup>Aa</sup>	15.5 <sup>Aa</sup>	14.1 <sup>Ba</sup>	117 <sup>Ca</sup>
SD	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.2	2.1	1.8	1.1	1.3
Small butcher shops	$15.7^{Ab}$	$14.8^{Bb}$	13.3 <sup>Cb</sup>	$11.7^{Db}$	15.7 <sup>Ab</sup>	13.7 <sup>Bb</sup>	13.5 <sup>Cb</sup>	$10.4^{\text{Db}}$
SD	1.5	1.5	1.	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.9	1.1

Table 3. Colour of minced meat collected from supermarkets and small butcher shops at Riyadh

Each number is mean of three replicates; values with the same superscripts in the same horizontal row (A-D) or vertical column (a-b) are not significantly different at (P =0.05); SD = standard deviation;  $L^*$  = lightness;  $a^*$  = redness;  $b^*$  = yellowness.

# Conclusion

From the results, it is clear that the refrigerator temperature was not sufficient to inhibit the growth of microorganisms and enzymes work and freezer temperature was sufficient to inhibit the growth of microorganisms, but did not stop the enzymes activity. It was also noted that the minced beef meat from supermarkets were lower in the microbial load and the percentage of rancidity. The spoilage of minced meat stored at 4 °C is mainly due to microbial growth, lipids oxidation and discolouration. Meanwhile, the deterioration of minced meat stored at -10 °C is mainly due to lipids oxidation and discolouration. Although freezing storage improved the microbial quality of minced meat, the hygienic quality of frozen meat was still low due to the high initial contamination level from pathogen and spoilage bacteria. Further studies are needed to evaluate the roots of contamination in minced meat industry to reduce the contamination level, improve safety, quality and increase its shelf life.

# Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology for its support to complete this work.

#### References

- Abderrahmane, A., Tahar, B., Isabelle, C. 2011. Development of a portable spectrofluorimeter for measuring the microbial spoilage of minced beef. *Meat Science*, 88: 675-681.
- Anthoula, A.A., Aryyri, I.D., Vasiliki, A.B. 2012. Potential of simple HPLC-based approach for identification of the spoilage status of minced beef stored at various temperatures and packaging. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **150**: 25-33.
- Armstrong, D. 1998. Free Radical and Antioxidant Protocols, 455 pp., Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersy, USA.
- Balentine, C.W., Grandall, P.G., Bryan, C.A., Duong, D.Q., Pohlman, F.W. 2006. The pre-and postgrinding application of rosemary and its effects on lipid oxidation and color during storage of ground beef. *Meat Science*, **73**: 413-421.
- Beriain, M.J., yLizaso, G. 1997. Calidad de la carne de vacuno. In: *Vacuno de carne, Aspectos claves*, En: Buxade, C. (ed.), pp. 493-510, Madrid: Mundi-Prensa, Spain.
- Borch, E., Kant-Muermans, M.-L., Blixt, Y. 1996. Bacterial spoilage of meat and cured meat product.

*International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **33**: 103-120.

- Brewer, M.S., Jensen, J., Sosnicki, A.A., Fields, B., Wilson, E., McKeith, F.K. 2002. The effect of pig genetics on palatability, color and physical characteristics of fresh pork loin chops. *Meat Science*, 61: 249-256.
- Brooks, J.C., Alvarado, M., Stephens, T.P., Kellermeier, J.D., Tittor, A.W., Miller, M.F. 2008. Spoilage and safety characteristics of ground beef packaged in traditional and modified atmosphere packages. *Journal of Food Protection*, **71**: 293-301.
- Coleen, L., Trevor, J., Louwrens, C. 2012. Impact of freezing and thawing on the quality of meat. Review. *Meat Science*, 91: 93-98.
- Crowley, H., Cagney, C., Sheridan, J.J., Anderson, W., McDowell, D.A., Blair, I.S., Bishop, R.H., Duffy, G. 2005. Enterobacteriaceae in beef products from retail outlets in Republic of Ireland and comparison of the presence and counts of *E. coli* O157:H7 in these products. *Food Microbiology*, 22: 409-414.
- Dainty, R.H., Edwards, R.A., Hibbard, C.M. 1985. Time course of volatile compounds formation during refrigerated storage of naturally contaminated beef in air. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 59: 303-309.
- Descalzo, A.M., Sancho, A.M. 2008. A review of natural antioxidants and their effects on oxidative status, odor and quality of fresh beef produced in Argentina. *Meat Science*, **79**: 423-436.
- Diane, G., Newell, A., Marion, K., Linda, V., Erwin, D., Awa, A., Hein, S., Marieke, M., Merel, L., John, T., Flemming, S. 2010. Food-borne diseases. The challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **139**: 53-64.
- Duong, D.Q., Crandalla, P.G., Pohlmanb, F.W., O'Bryana, C.A., Balentinea, C.W., Castilloc, A. 2008. Improving ground beef safety and stabilizing color during irradiation using antioxidants, reductants or TSP. *Meat Science*, **78**: 359-368.
- El-Hadedy, D., Abu El-Nour, S. 2012. Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from Egyptian food by conventional and molecular methods. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, **68**: 185-191.
- Ellis, D.I., Goodacre, R. 2001. Rapid and quantitative detection of the microbial spoilage of muscle foods: current status and future trends. *Trends in Food & Science Technolology*, **12:** 414-424.

- Ercolini, D., Russo, F., Torrieri, E., Masi, P., Villani, F. 2006. Changes in the spoilage related microbiota of beef during storage under different packaging conditions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **72:** 4663-4671.
- Estévez, M. 2011. Protein carbonyls in meat systems: A review. *Meat Science*, **89:** 259-279.
- Faustman, C., Cassens, R.G. 1990. Review: The biochemical basis for discoloration in meat. *Journal* of Muscle Foods, 1: 217-243.
- Fernindez, J., Crez-harez, J.A.P., Fernindez-Li5pezb, J.A. 1997. Thiobarbituric acid test for monitoring lipid. *Food Chemistry*, **59**: 345-353.
- Garbutt, J. 1997. Essentials of Food Microbiology, (Hodder Arnold Publication), 288 pp., 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, CRC Press, London, UK.
- George, C., Celia, H., Arno, H. 2011. The incidence of diarrhoeagenic *Escherichia coli* in minced beef and boerewors. *Food Research International*, 88: 215-222.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A. 1984. *Statistical Procedures* for Agricultural Research, 680 pp., 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, John Wiley & Sons, USA.
- Gram, L., Ravn, L., Rasch, M., Bruhn, J.B., Christensen, A.B., Givskov, M. 2002. Food spoilage-interactions between food spoilage bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **78**: 79-97.
- Huffman, R.D. 2002. Current and future technologies for the decontamination of carcasses and fresh meat. *Meat Science*, **62**: 285-294.
- ICMSF, 1988. Microorganisms in Foods 4, Application of Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) in Microbiological Safety and Quality, International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- Irkin, R., Esmer, O.K., Degirmencioglu, N., Degirmencioglu, A. 2011. Influence of packaging conditions on some microbial properties of minced beef meat at 4 °C storage. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 17: 655-663.
- Jay, J.M., Vilai, J.P., Hughes, M.E. 2003. Profile and activity of the bacterial biota of ground beef held from freshness to spoilage at 5-7 °C. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 81: 105-111.
- Jay, J.M. 2002. A review of aerobic and psychrotrophic plate count procedures for fresh meat and poultry products. *Journal of Food Protection*, 65: 1200-1206.
- Jay, J.M. 2000. Food preservation with modified atmospheres. In: Modern Food Microbiology, Aspen

Food Science Text Series, J. M. Jay (ed.), pp. 283-300, Springer, USA.

- Koch, W.H., Payne, W.L., Cebula, T.A. 2001. Detection of Enterotoxigenic Vibrio cholera in foods by the polymer chain reaction. In: FDA's Bacteriological Analytical Manual, online, 8<sup>th</sup> edition, revision A 1998, Chapter 28, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, USFDA, USA.
- Koutsoumanis, K., Stamatiou, A., Skandamis, P., Nychas, J.G. 2006. Development of microbial model of temperature and pH on spoilage of ground beef, and validation of the model under dynamic temperature conditions. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, **72**: 124-134.
- Labadie, J. 1999. Consequences of packaging on bacterial growth. Meat is an ecological niche. *Meat Science*, 52: 299-305.
- Limbo, S., Torri, L., Sinelli, B., Franzetti, L., Casiraghi, E. 2010. Evaluation and predictive modeling of shelf life of minced beef stored in high-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging at different temperatures. *Meat Science*, 84: 129-136.
- Luning, P.A., Jacxsens, L., Rovira, B.J., Osés, S.M., Marcelis, W.J. 2011. A concurrent diagnosis of microbiological food safety output and food safety management system performance: Cases from meat processing industries. *Food Control*, 22: 555-565.
- Lynch, M.P., Faustman, C., Silbart, L.K., Rood, D., Furr, H.C. 2001. Detection of lipid-derived aldehydes and aldehyde: protein adducts *In vitro* and in beef. *Journal of Food Science*, 66: 1093-1099.
- Mancini, R.A., Hunt, M.C. 2005. Review: Current research in meat color. *Meat Science*, **71**: 100-121.
- Mohamed, A., Jamilah, B., Abbas, K.A., Abdul Rahman, R. 2008. A review on some factors affecting color of fresh beef cuts. *Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 6: 181-186.
- Montgomery, J.L., Parrish, F.C., Olson, D.G., Dickson, J.S., Niebuhr, S. 2003. Storage and packaging effects on sensory and color characteristics of ground beef. *Meat Science*, 64: 357-363.
- Nam, K.C., Ahn, D.U. 2003. Use of antioxidants to reduce lipid oxidation and off-odor volatiles of irradiated pork homogenates and patties. *Meat Science*, 63: 1-8.
- Nesvadba, P. 2008. Thermal properties and ice crystal development in frozen foods. In: *Frozen Food, Science and Technology*, J. A. Evans (ed.), pp. 1-25, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK.

- Norrung, B., Buncic, S. 2008. Microbial safety of meat in the European Union. *Meat Science*, **78**: 14-24.
- Nychas, G.J.E., Skandamis, P.N., Tassou, C.C., Koutsoumanis, K.P. 2008. Meat spoilage during distribution. *Meat Science*, **78**: 77-89.
- Nychas, G.J.E., Drosinos, E.H. 1999. Meat and poultry spoilage, In: *Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology*,
  R. K. Robinson, C. A. Batt, and P. D. Patel (eds.),
  pp. 1253-1259, Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- Odekerken, G., Pohjola, M.V., Tijhuis, M.J., Tuomisto, J.T., Ueland, Q., White, B.C., Verhagen, H. 2012. State of the art in benefit-risk analysis: Food microbiology. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, **50**: 33-39.
- Ozlem, K., Esmer, R., Irkin, B., Nurcan, D.C., Ali, D. 2011. The effects of modified atmosphere gas composition on microbiological criteria, color and oxidation values of minced beef meat. *Meat Science*, 88: 221-226.
- Pearson, A.M., Young, R.B. 1989. Muscle and Meat Biochemistry, 457 pp., Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- Papadopoulou, O.S., Chorianopoulos, N.G., Gkana, E.N., Grounta, A.V. 2012. Transfer of foodborne pathogenic bacteria to non-inoculated beef fillets through meat mincing machine. *Meat Science*, 90: 865-869.
- Podpeèan, B., Pengov, A., Vadnjal, S. 2007. The source of contamination of ground meat for production of meat products with bacteria Staphylococcus aureus. Slovenian Veterinary Research, 44: 25-30.
- Raharjo, S., Sofos, J.N. 1993. Methodology for measuring malonaldehyde as a product of lipid peroxidation in muscle tissues: A review. *Meat Science*, **35:** 145-169.
- Seideman, S.C., Cross, H.R., Smith, G.C., Durland, P.R. 1984. Factors associated with fresh meat color: A review. *Journal of Food Quality*, 6: 211-237.
- Skandamis, P., Nychas, G.J.E. 2005. Fresh meat spoilage and modified atmosphere packaging (MAP). In: *Improving Safety of Fresh Meat*, J. Sofos (ed.), pp. 461-493, Woodhead Publishers, Cambridge, UK.
- SAS, 2001. SAS for Windows, *SAS User's Guide: Statistics*. Version 8.0 e. SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- Shahidi, F., Hong, C. 1991. Evaluation of malonaldehyde as a marker of oxidative rancidity in meat products. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, **15**: 97-105.

- Singh, R.P., Heldman, D.R. 2001. Food freezing. In: *Introduction to Food Engineering*, pp. 410-444. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- Skrökki, A. 1997. Hygienic quality of commercial minced meat as indicated by aerobic microorganisms and Coliform bacteria. *Z Lebensm Unters Forsch A*, **204:** 391-394.
- Vieira, C., Diaz, M.Y., Martínez, B., García-Cachán, M.D. 2009. Effect of frozen storage conditions (temperature

and length of storage) on microbial and sensory quality of rustic crossbred beef at different stages of aging. *Meat Science*, **83:** 398-404.

- Waller, R.A., Duncan, D.B. 1969. A Bayes rule for the symmetric multiple comparison problem. *Journal* of the American Statistical Association, 64: 1484-1499, and (1972) "Corrigenda," 67: 253-255.
- Zhou, G.H., Xu, X.L., Liu, Y. 2010. Preservation technologies for fresh meat: A review, *Meat Science*, 86: 119-128.