

# Indoor NO<sub>2</sub> Sampling in a Large University Campus in Benin City, Southern Nigeria, Using Palmes Diffusion Tubes

E. E. Ukpebor\*, Y. T. Sadiku, and S. I. Ahonkhai  
Chemistry Department, University Of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

(received January 28, 2004; revised October 28, 2004; accepted November 6, 2004)

**Abstract.** Monitoring of NO<sub>2</sub> in different indoor environments (without cooking and with cooking using different fuels) was done. Palmes diffusion tubes were used for the monitoring. The sampling duration was two weeks. The highest NO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 38.61 ppb (73.74 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was monitored in the room where the cooking was done with a gas burner. This was followed by the room with firewood cooking, where the concentration was 36.75 ppb (70.19 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and the least concentration of 24.05 ppb (46.80 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was noted in the room, where kerosene stove was used for cooking. It is of significance to observe that the WHO annual average guideline value of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was exceeded in all the rooms where cooking was done. Levels obtained in this study, therefore, suggest a need for precautionary mitigation. However, the outdoor concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> was almost the same as that obtained indoors in the rooms without cooking. This suggests high penetration indoors of outdoor NO<sub>2</sub>. A background level of 3.40 ppb (6.49 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was established for the environment in Ugbowo, Benin City, Nigeria.

**Keywords:** indoor No<sub>2</sub>, outdoor No<sub>2</sub>, cooking fuels, nitrogen dioxide, air pollution, Palmes diffusion tubes

## Introduction

Although overwhelming evidence exists on the anthropogenic source of air pollutants in Nigeria, yet only scanty information and data are available on this subject. Informations on the tropospheric levels of the solid and liquid droplets have been provided (Baumbach *et al.*, 1995; Adejumo *et al.*, 1994; Ogunsola *et al.*, 1993). Ambient concentrations of some gaseous pollutants have also been reported recently (Ukpebor and Ahonkhai, 2000). Most people spend more time indoors than outdoors, thus making indoor spaces important microenvironments when addressing risks from air pollution. A recent report indicates that a person is perhaps 1000 times more likely to inhale a chemical molecule if it is emitted indoors than outdoors (Nazaroff and Singer, 2004). Furthermore, estimates from the World Health Organization indicate that indoor air pollution from the use of solid fuels, accounts for 1.6 million deaths globally per year (WHO, 2002). Though it has been estimated that about 1.9 million people die annually as a result of exposure to high concentrations of suspended particulate matter and other pollutants in the indoor air environment in the developing countries (WHO, 2000), information and resources to control the indoor air quality are often lacking (Ferrari *et al.*, 1995). The only reported indoor measurement till date in Nigeria is the 1999 study on the indoor levels of NO<sub>2</sub> in an operating room using a nitrous oxide as an anaesthetic (Ukpebor and Imarengiaye, 2002). Prohibitive cost of air sampling equipment, erratic electric power supply, lack of trained

personnel and unreliability of some of the available passive samplers are some of the reasons advanced for the lack of data on indoor air quality status. NO<sub>2</sub> has been primarily selected for air monitoring because of the recent confirmation of the reliability and sensitivity of Palmes diffusion tubes for NO<sub>2</sub> (Ukpebor *et al.*, 2004; Hansen *et al.*, 2001; Hangartner, 1999). Secondly, NO<sub>2</sub> is an important indicator of air pollution because the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> is well correlated with the concentration of carbon monoxide, particulates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Lewis *et al.*, 1995), and soot (Bower *et al.*, 1991).

The indoor NO<sub>2</sub> is generated from a series of sources, such as tobacco smoke or from cooking with biomass fuelled stoves. While cooking, unvented gas and kerosene heaters may increase the indoor NO<sub>2</sub> levels (Bardana, 2001). Elevated levels of NO<sub>2</sub> have been measured routinely in kitchens during conventional gas cooking (Spengler and Cohen, 1985). NO<sub>2</sub> may cause irritation of nose and eyes and may induce lower respiratory system symptoms through penetration of the conducting airways (Alberts, 1994). In healthy subjects, any exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> at levels found indoors may cause airway inflammation, affect blood cells, and augment susceptibility of airway epithelial cells to injury from respiratory viruses (Frampton *et al.*, 2002). High indoor levels of NO<sub>2</sub> have been associated with an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms in healthy children (Garret *et al.*, 1998) and adults (Simoni *et al.*, 2002), or asthmatic subjects (Ng *et al.*, 2001).

\*Author for correspondence; E-mail: ukpebehi@uniben.edu

The work reported here is a pilot study designed to assess the NO<sub>2</sub> levels in different indoor environments within the Ugbowo Campus, University of Benin, Nigeria. It is hoped that this report will stimulate further indoor air pollution studies. Furthermore, it is anticipated that the data generated would help in policy development and prioritization of management actions.

## Materials and Methods

**Study areas.** Ugbowo Campus, University of Benin, Nigeria, is populated with about 40,000 inhabitants, approx 30,000 of which are students and the rest include staff and their families. About 70% of the student population resides on the campus. Different indoor environments were carefully selected on the campus in this pilot study, which included a room with a kerosene stove used exclusively for cooking, a room with a gas burner, a kitchen with firewood as the means of cooking, and a room with no cooking to serve as the control. Two outdoor measuring sites were also selected, a remote site about three km from the residential area with no motorized traffic density and another site with very low traffic density. The former remote site was to act as a control and also to provide the background NO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the environment. The latter outdoor site was to facilitate the calculation of outdoor/indoor NO<sub>2</sub> ratio.

**NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring.** NO<sub>2</sub> measurement was carried out by using Palmes diffusion tubes. The diffusion tubes used in this study consisted of a small acrylic tubing, 8.20 cm long with a cross-sectional area of 0.82 cm<sup>2</sup>, having two stainless steel mesh as support for adsorbing material at its one end. Triethanolamine was used as the adsorbent for NO<sub>2</sub>. The sensitivity and utmost accuracy of this particular tube length and the selectivity and specificity of triethanolamine for NO<sub>2</sub> have been documented (Ukpebor *et al.*, 2004; Gold, 1977). In preparing the tubes, uniformity was maximized, for example, the same drying time for each of the steel grids, and the use of a freshly prepared triethanolamine/acetone mixture. The required steel grids were cleaned with acetone and dried properly. A mixture of two parts of acetone and one part of triethanolamine was prepared and stirred thoroughly. The grids were dipped in the mixture and dried for 20 min. Dipping in solution prior to assembly was found to give significantly more precise NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations than by the pipetting method (Hamilton and Heal, 2004). After drying, two of the steel grids were placed at one end of the tube and the tube was capped. The prepared tubes were stored in a refrigerator and finally exposed at the different monitoring sites. Two tubes from each of the prepared sets were retained in storage as 'blanks' for later analysis along with the exposed tubes. A two-week sampling

period was observed to allow a reasonable quantity of nitrogen dioxide to be adsorbed.

After exposing the passive samplers, the amount of NO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed was determined colourimetrically as nitrite with Saltzmann reagent (Palmer *et al.*, 1976). A visible spectrophotometer (Spectronic, 21D) at zero extinction, previously calibrated with known concentrations of nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), was used to determine the absorbance of both blanks and the air samples at 540 nm, using the reagent as referred. Absorbance readings from the unexposed 'blank' diffusion tubes were averaged for each preparation set and the value subtracted from the readings for the exposed tubes. The atmospheric concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> obtained during the measuring period was calculated as described by Palmer *et al.* (1976), using Fick's first law, the dimensions of the tube, and the diffusion coefficient of NO<sub>2</sub> in the air with the following equation:

$$C = \frac{22.4 \times 10^3 \times T \times F \times Z \times E}{273 \times t \times D \times A}$$

where:

C = NO<sub>2</sub> conc (ppb)

T = temperature (K)

F = calibration factor

Z = length of the diffusion tube (cm)

E = extinction minus extinction of the blank

t = exposure time (sec)

D = diffusion coefficient of the compound in air (cm<sup>2</sup>/sec)

A = cross-sectional area of the tube (cm<sup>2</sup>)

note: 1 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> = 1.91 µg NO<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>3</sup>

## Results and Discussion

The time-weighted average concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> obtained from the different indoors and the two outdoor environments during June and July are presented in Table 1. NO<sub>2</sub> levels measured from three of the four indoor environments seem high. However, these values can best be assessed by a comparison with the approved National and International Standards. The Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA, 1991) allows daily average limit for NO<sub>2</sub>, which is presently 75-113 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The US National Ambient Air Quality Standard (USEPA, 1990) for NO<sub>2</sub> is presently 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup> annual average, while the World Health Organization annual average guideline for exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> is 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, and the 1 h guideline is of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (WHO, 2000). It is significant to indicate that the outdoor air had a different pollutant composition than that found in the indoor air. Not all of these compositions have been taken into account in developing the air quality guide-

**Table 1.** Measured indoors and outdoors NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the two months of study

Sampling site	NO <sub>2</sub> conc ppb (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		
	Analysis during June	Analysis during July	Mean values
Room with kerosene stove	25.98 (49.62)	23.02 (43.97)	25.05 (46.80)
Room with gas burner	40.83 (77.98)	36.38 (69.49)	38.61 (73.74)
Room with firewood	37.86 (72.31)	35.64 (68.07)	36.75 (70.19)
Room with no cooking	11.88 (22.69)	8.91 (17.02)	10.40 (19.86)
Vice-chancellor's lodge	11.92 (22.77)	8.17 (17.60)	10.05 (19.19)
Control site	3.82 (7.30)	2.97 (5.67)	3.40 (6.49)

lines given above and, therefore, may not be applicable under all circumstances. Despite this limitation, the data obtained would be discussed in line with the set standards.

The mean background NO<sub>2</sub> concentration obtained in this study was 3.40 ppb (6.49 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). This level was obtained in the control site, a very remote location devoid of any of the known anthropogenic source group of NO<sub>2</sub>. This, therefore, indicates a natural unpoluted source and hence the expected minimum in the monitoring environment. The highest NO<sub>2</sub> range of 36.38 ppb (69.49 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) to 40.83 ppb (77.98 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) measured in this study was recorded in the indoor site where exclusively gas burner was used for cooking. The mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration in this room was found to be a factor of 11 times higher than the background concentration and a factor of 4 time higher than what was measured at the outdoor control environment selected for the study (near the Vice Chancellor's lodge). The second highest mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 36.75 ppb (70.19 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was obtained in the room where firewood was used as the means of cooking. This room gave a calculated outdoors/indoors NO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.27, and a factor of 10 times higher than the background NO<sub>2</sub> level (Table 2). The least concentration range of 23.02-24.05 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> was measured in the room with kerosene stove. The room with no cooking recorded a mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 19.86 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. This is a factor of 3 times higher than the background NO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The calculated outdoors/indoors NO<sub>2</sub> ratio for this room was found to be 0.97 (Table 2). This reveals no significant difference between the outdoor control site concentration and the concentration measured in this room. The outdoors site selected near the Vice-chancellor's lodge gave NO<sub>2</sub> level of 10.05 ppb (19.19 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). This value is a factor of 3 times higher than the background concentration. The difference of (13.37 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) between the background level (6.49 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) and the measured NO<sub>2</sub> concentration (19.86 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in the room with no cooking may be due to penetration indoors of the outdoors NO<sub>2</sub>. Indoor concentrations of air pollutants are influenced by outdoors levels, indoor sources, the rate of ex-

change between indoor and outdoor air, and the characteristics and furnishings of the buildings (WHO, 2000). Indoor values of 20% to 80% of the outdoor concentrations have been reported (Yocum, 1982).

**Table 2.** Calculated outdoors/indoors NO<sub>2</sub> ratio

Sampling site	Outdoors/indoors ratio
Room with kerosene stove	0.42
Room with gas burner	0.26
Room with firewood	0.27
Room with no cooking	0.97

The differences in the NO<sub>2</sub> levels obtained in the different indoor environments could be a function of the mode of combustion, the type of fuel used for cooking and the quantity of fuel combusted. However, since the samplers gave only average values for the exposure period, no attempt was made to record the pattern or extent of fuel usage. In the developed countries, indoor levels of NO<sub>2</sub>, for example, are affected by gas heaters and cooking ranges (used in 20-80% of houses in some countries). In five European countries, the average NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (over 2-7 days) for dwellings with gas equipment were in the range of 20-40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in living rooms and 40-70 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in kitchens, and 10-20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in dwellings without gas equipment (WHO, 2000). In addition, short-term measurements reveal NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations that may be five-folds higher than those averaged over several days. Peak values of up to 3800 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for 1 min have been measured in the Netherlands in kitchens with unvented gas cooking ranges (Seifert, 1993; ECA, 1989).

Relatively few studies have been conducted to determine the health effects of indoor exposures to air pollutants in the developing countries. Enough data have become available in recent years, however, to obtain some preliminary information on the type and very approximate magnitude of effects (Chen *et al.*, 1990). NO<sub>2</sub> levels of about 940 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (0.5 ppm) increase

susceptibility to bacterial and viral infections. Epidemiological studies evaluating the effects of NO<sub>2</sub> exposures in homes with gas cooking appliances have been conducted. In general, epidemiological studies of adults and infants (less than 2-year olds) show no significant effect of the use of gas cooking appliances on respiratory illnesses, nor do the few available studies of infants and adults show any association between pulmonary function changes and gas stove use. However, children 5-12 years old are estimated to have a 20% increased risk for respiratory symptoms and disease for each increase of 28 µg/m<sup>3</sup> NO<sub>2</sub> (2-week average), where the weekly average concentrations are in the range of 15-128 µg/m<sup>3</sup> or possibly higher (WHO, 2000).

## Conclusions

With growing public concerns about the indoor air quality, action has been taken in many developed countries to characterize the levels of indoor air pollutants. This present pilot study is the first attempt at initiating a large-scale indoor air pollution characterization process in Nigeria. The following can be inferred from this study. The NO<sub>2</sub> levels in the entire indoor/outdoor environments monitored, complied with the threshold values set nationally. However, it is of great significance that the WHO annual average guideline value of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was exceeded in all the rooms where cooking was done. Levels obtained in this study, therefore, suggest a need for precautionary mitigation. Cooking with a gas burner indoors generated the highest NO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 77.98 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The room where cooking was done with a kerosene stove gave the lowest NO<sub>2</sub> level of 49.62 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. There was no significant difference between the outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> concentration and the concentration in the room where no cooking was done, suggesting high penetration indoors of the outdoor NO<sub>2</sub>. The background NO<sub>2</sub> concentration for Ugbowo environment was found to be 3.40 ppb (6.49 µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

## References

- Adejumo, J. A., Obioh, I. B., Ogunsola, O. J., Akeredolu, F. A., Olaniyi, H. B., Asubiojo, O. F., Oluwole, A. F., Akanle, O. A., Spyrou, N.M. 1994. The atmospheric deposition of major, minor and trace elements within and around three cement factories. *J. Radio. Analyt. Nuclear Chem.* **179**: 195-204.
- Alberts, W.M. 1994. Indoor air pollution, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **94**: 289-295.
- Bardana, E.J. 2001. Indoor pollution and its impact on respiratory health. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **87**: 33-40.
- Baumbach, G., Vogt, U., Hein, K.R.G., Oluwole, A.F., Ogunsola, O. J., Olaniyi, H.B., Akeredolu, F. A. 1995. Air pollution in a large tropical city with a high traffic density: results of measurements in Lagos, Nigeria. *Sci. Total Environ.* **169**: 25-31.
- Bower, J.S., Lampert, J.E., Stevenson, K.J., Atkins, D.H.F., Lewis, D.V. 1991. A diffusion tube survey of NO<sub>2</sub> levels in urban areas of UK. *Atmos. Environ.* **25B**: 255-265.
- Chen, B.H., Hong, C.J., Pandey, M.R., Smith, K.R. 1990. Indoor air pollution and its effect in developing countries. *WHO Statistics Quarterly* **43**: 127-138.
- ECA. 1989. *Indoor Pollution by NO<sub>2</sub> in European Countries; European Concerted Action on Indoor Air Quality and its Impact on Man*, Report No. 3, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, Belgium.
- FEPA. 1991. *Guidelines and Standards for Environmental Pollution Control in Nigeria*, Federal Environmental Pollution Control in Nigeria, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Ferrari, L., Chowdhury, B., Younes, M. 1995. Indoor air pollution in developing countries. What are the problems and how can they be resolved? In: *Indoor Air: An Integrated Approach*, L. Morawska, N. D. Bofinger, M. Maroni (eds.), pp. 27-28, Elsevier, Oxford, UK.
- Frampton, M.W., Boscia, J., Roberts Jr, N.J., Azadniv, M., Torres, A., Cox, C., Morrow, P.E., Nochols, J., Chalupa, D., Frasier, L.M., Gibb, F.R., Speers, D.M., Tsai, Y., Utell, M.J. 2002. Nitrogen dioxide exposure; effects on airway and blood cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* **282**: 155-165.
- Garret, M.H., Hooper, M.A., Hooper, D.M., Abramson, M.J. 1998. Respiratory symptoms in children and indoor exposure to nitrogen dioxide and gas stoves. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **158**: 891-895.
- Gold, A. 1977. Stoichiometry of nitrogen dioxide determination in triethanolamine trapping solution. *Anal. Chem.* **49**: 1448-1450.
- Hamilton, R.P., Heal, M. R. 2004. Evaluation of method of preparation of passive diffusion tubes for measurement of ambient nitrogen dioxide. *J. Environ. Monit.* **6**: 12-17.
- Hangartner, M. 1999. Passive sampling for the measurement of nitrogen dioxide emission. *Dragger Review* **83**: 6-8.
- Hansen, T.S., Kruse, M., Lohse, C. 2001. Monitoring of NO<sub>2</sub> in Greenland. *J. Environ. Monit.* **3**: 139-145.
- Lewis, A.C., Kupiszewska, D., Bartle, K.D., Pilling, M.J. 1995. City center concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons using critical fluid extraction. *Atmos. Environ.* **29**: 1537-1542.
- Nazaroff, W.W., Singer, B.C. 2004. Inhalation of hazardous air pollutants from environmental tobacco smoke in US residences. *J. Exposure Analysis Environ. Epidemiol.* **14**: S71-S77.

- Ng, T.P., Seet, C.S., Tan, W.C., Foo, S.C. 2001. Nitrogen dioxide exposure from domestic gas cooking and airway response in asthmatic women. *Thorax* **56**: 596-601.
- Ogunsola, O.J., Oluwole, A.F., Obioh, I.B., Asubiojo, O.I., Akeredolu, F.A., Akanle, O.A., Spyrou, N.M. 1993. Analysis of suspended air particulates along some motorways in Nigeria by PIXE and EDXRF. *Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res.* **B79**: 404-407.
- Palmes, E.D., Gunnison, A.F., di Mattio, J., Tomczyk, C. 1976. Personal sampler for nitrogen dioxide. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* **37**: 570-577.
- Seifert, B. 1993. *Innenräume: Handbuch der Umweltmedizin*, Ecomed-Fachverlag, Landsberg.
- Simoni, M., Carrozzi, L., Baldacci, S., Angino, A., Scognamiglio, A., di Pede, F., Sapign, T., Viegi, G. 2002. The Po River Delta (North Italy) epidemiological study; effect of pollutant exposure on acute respiratory symptoms and respiratory function in adults. *Arch. Environ. Health* **57**: 130-136.
- Spengler, J.D., Cohen, M. 1985. Emissions from indoor combustion sources. In: *Indoor Air and Human Health*, R.B. Gammage, C.V. Kaye (eds.), Chelsea, ML.
- Ukpebor, E.E., Ahonkhai, S.I., Heydtmann, H. 2004. NO<sub>2</sub> measurement with a passive sampler: assessment of the sensitivity of two types of Palmes diffusion tubes for NO<sub>2</sub>. *Intern. J. Environ. Stud.* **61**: 67-71.
- Ukpebor, E.E., Ahonkhai, S.I. 2000. Spatial and temporal variation of nitrogen dioxide concentration in Benin City, using passive samplers. *Nig. J. Appl. Sci.* **18**: 72-77.
- Ukpebor, E.E., Imarengiaye, C.O. 2002. The use of simple diffusion tube samplers for the measurement of nitrogen dioxide in an operating room using nitrous oxide as an anaesthetic. *West Afr. J. Med.* **21**: 192-194.
- USEPA. 1990. *User's Guide for the Urban Airshed Modes*, vol. **1: User's Manual for UAM (CB4)**, EPA-450/4-90 007a, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA.
- WHO. 2002. *Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life*, pp. 69-70, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- WHO. 2000. *Guidelines for Air Quality*, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Yocum, E. 1982. Indoor-outdoor air quality relationship. *J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc.* **32**: 500.